RecaPCT/PTC 25 FEB 2005

International application No. PCT/JP03/10735

A. CLAS Int	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER .C1 ⁷ A61B5/044, A61B5/00, G01	D7/00	
According	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both	national classification and IPC	
B. FIELI	DS SEARCHED		
Minimum (Int	documentation searched (classification system followe . C1 ⁷ A61B5/04, A61B5/00, G01D7	d by classification symbols) 7/00	
Jits Koka	stion searched other than minimum documentation to to to to Suyo Shinan Koho 1922–1996 i Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971–2003	Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koh Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koh	o 1994–2003 o 1996–2003
	data base consulted during the international search (na	me of data base and, where practicable, sea	rch terms used)
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a		Relevant to claim No.
X Y A	JP 51-787 A (Dia Medical Sy Kaisha), 06 January, 1976 (06.01.76), Full text; all drawings (Family: none)		1-3,11,12, 14,18,19,21 4,7,10,13,15 5,6,8,9,17, 20
Y	JP 6-142071 A (Fukuda Denshi 24 May, 1994 (24.05.94), Full text; all drawings (Family: none)	Co., Ltd.),	4,7,10,13
Y	JP 6-181898 A (Fukuda Densh: 05 July, 1994 (05.07.94), Full text; all drawings & US 5410473 A & GE & DE 4243889 A	i Co., Ltd.),	4,7,10,13,15
× Furthe	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	
"A" docume consider to date "L" docume cited to special i docume means docume than the	categories of cited documents: ent defining the general state of the art which is not red to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international filing ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is establish the publication date of another citation or other reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other ent published prior to the international filing date but later ent priority date claimed ctual completion of the international search ovember, 2003 (20.11.03)	"T" later document published after the inter priority date and not in conflict with the understand the principle or theory unde "X" document of particular relevance; the cl considered novel or cannot be considere step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the cl considered to involve an inventive step combined with one or more other such o combination being obvious to a person "&" document member of the same patent fa Date of mailing of the international search 09 December, 2003 (6)	e application but cited to rlying the invention laimed invention cannot be ed to involve an inventive laimed invention cannot be when the document is documents, such skilled in the art amily
Japar	ailing address of the ISA/ nese Patent Office	Authorized officer	
acsimile No)_ ·	Telephone No.	



Internal application No.
PCT/JP03/10735

Category*	citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	JP 63-201524 A (Hitachi, Ltd.),	13
1	19 August, 1988 (19.08.88), Page 4, lower left column, lines 3 to 6 (Family: none)	13
А	JP 9-229721 A (Mitsubishi Electric Corp.), 05 September, 1997 (05.09.97), Full text; all drawings (Family: none)	17,20
		·
		·





International application No.

PCT/JP03/10735

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: 1. Claims Nos.: 16 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Claim 16 is directed to a biological information trend display, which relate to mere presentation of information. The subject matter is not required to be searched by the International Searching Authority under PCT Articl 17(2) (a) (i) and PCT Rule 39.1(v). Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: The technical feature common to claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 is that the trend display mode of biological information judged to be abnormal biological information is changed, whereas the technical feature common to claim 17, 20 is that the content represented by data is judged whether or not it is an object to be notified and the graph display of the data judged to be notified is displayed in a mode different from that of the graph display of the data judged to be not notified. There is notechnical feature common to the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 17, 20.
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Claim 16 is directed to a biological information trend display, which relate to mere presentation of information. The subject matter is not required to be searched by the International Searching Authority under PCT Articl 17(2)(a)(i) and PCT Rule 39.1(v). Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: The technical feature common to claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 is that the trend display mode of biological information judged to be abnormal biological information is changed, whereas the technical feature common to claim 17, 20 is that the content represented by data is judged whether or not it is an object to be notified and the graph display of the data judged to be notified is displayed in a mode different from that of the graph display of the data judged to be notified. There is no technical feature common to the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of
Claim 16 is directed to a biological information trend display, which relate to mere presentation of information. The subject matter is not required to mere presentation of informational Searching Authority under PCT Articl 17(2)(a)(i) and PCT Rule 39.1(v). Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: The technical feature common to claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 is that the trend display mode of biological information judged to be abnormal biological information is changed, whereas the technical feature common to claim 17, 20 is that the content represented by data is judged whether or not it is an object to be notified and the graph display of the data judged to be notified is displayed in a mode different from that of the graph display of the data judged to be notified. There is no technical feature common to the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 17, 20.
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: The technical feature common to claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 is that the trend display mode of biological information judged to be abnormal biological information is changed, whereas the technical feature common to claim 17, 20 is that the content represented by data is judged whether or not it is an object to be notified and the graph display of the data judged to be notified is displayed in a mode different from that of the graph display of the data judged to be not notified. There is no technical feature common to the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 17, 20.
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: The technical feature common to claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 is that the trend display mode of biological information judged to be abnormal biological information is changed, whereas the technical feature common to claim 17, 20 is that the content represented by data is judged whether or not it is an object to be notified and the graph display of the data judged to be notified is displayed in a mode different from that of the graph display of the data judged to be not of the data judged to be not of the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 17, 20.
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: The technical feature common to claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 is that the trend display mode of biological information judged to be abnormal biological information is changed, whereas the technical feature common to claim 17, 20 is that the content represented by data is judged whether or not it is an object to be notified and the graph display of the data judged to be notified is displayed in a mode different from that of the graph display of the data judged to be notified. There is no technical feature common to the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 17, 20.
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: The technical feature common to claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 is that the trend display mode of biological information judged to be abnormal biological information is changed, whereas the technical feature common to claim 17, 20 is that the content represented by data is judged whether or not it is an object to be notified and the graph display of the data judged to be notified is displayed in a mode different from that of the graph display of the data judged to be notified. There is no technical feature common to the inventions of claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21 and the inventions of claims 17, 20.
The technical feature that the trend display mode of the biological information judged to be (Continued to extra sheet.) 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.





International application No. PCT/JP03/10735

Continuation of Box No. II of continuation of first sheet (1)

abnormal biological information is changed is publicly known as disclosed in JP 51-787. Therefore, this technical feature cannot be a special technical feature of the claims 1-15, 18, 19, 21, within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence.